To:				PCT				
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)				
				Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220				FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
	national application T/US2005/00795		International filing date (4	day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 13.12.2004				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B43K23/008								
Applicant JAKKS PACIFIC, INC.								
1.	This opinion co	ontains indication	ons relating to the foll	owing items:				
	☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion							
	☑ Box No. II	Priority						
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicat								
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity o	· ·					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited							
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application								
	☑ Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application				
2.	FURTHER ACT	ION						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3.	B. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.							

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

9))

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 **Authorized Officer**

Daintith, N

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8894



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2005007955 0 2

IAP20 Res'3 PETATO 06 JAN 2006

				_		
_	Во	x No	o. I Basis of the opinion	_		
1.			gard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
•		lan	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following iguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	l		
2.	Wit nec	h re ess	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			
	a. type of material:					
	١		a sequence listing			
	1		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. f	orm	at of material:			
	ı		in written format			
	l		in computer readable form			
	c. ti	ime	of filing/furnishing:			
	[contained in the international application as filed.			
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	[furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4.	Add	litio	nal comments:			
	Box	No	. II Priority	-		
1.	⊠	rea	e validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority es not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where uired, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the sumption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.	-		
2.		Thi	s opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international g date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.			
3.	Add	ition	al observations, if necessary:			

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Box No. V industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-9

Claims No:

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-9

1-9

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

IAP20 RECURE 06 JAN 2006

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1. The subject-matter of claim 1 appear to be novel within the meaning of Article 33 (2) PCT as none of the cited prior art documents show an air pump mechanism for a writing instrument.
- 2. The subject-matter of claim 1 also appears to be inventive as within the meaning of Article 33 (3) PCT. The closest prior art appears to be disclosed within EP-A-1 046 516 (D1) which discloses a pen having an expandable bladder-type gripping member made of a rubber or rubber-type substance, see paragraphs 21 to 24. The member is expanded by a twisting action of the pen handle. Neither this document nor any of the other cited documents show or suggest a pumping means for expanding the bladder.
- 3. The dependent claims 2 to 9 are hence also novel and inventive.

Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

4. Rule 6.2(b) PCT requires that the features of the claims are provided with reference signs placed in parentheses.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 5. The subject-matter of claims 5 and 6 is identical and hence there is a lack of conciseness within the meaning of Article 6 CT.
- 6. The subject-matter of claim 1 is not clear within the meaning of Article 6 PCT because although it is directed to an air pump mechanism for a writing instrument, it also claims the writing instrument.